

The Renaissance in Local Anesthesia











7

8

2016 Dr. Stanley F. Ma AV Diphts Deserve

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Ma All Rights Reserve

The greatest fear dental patients have is FEAR of PAIN



PAIN

Real pain Psychological pain

They BOTH hurt!

Painless Injections

How important - to the patient is pain-free dental treatment?





PRT ... that point at which a person interprets a stimulus as painful.





Pain Reaction Threshold

70% respond appropriately (Normo-responders)

15% under-respond (Hypo-responders)

15% over-respond (Hyper-responders)

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed AV Rights Reserved 13



15 Normal Distribution Curve **Bell-shaped Curve** Fear of dentistry Sleep-deprivation Long-term chronic pain Short-term acute pain Hyper responders 2,159 13.0% 34.1% 54.7% 13.41 20 47.0 68,25 95.4% 99.7% © 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Male AV Rights Reserved

16

0 2016 Dr. S AV Rig

LOCAL ANESTHETICS are the SAFEST and MOST EFFECTIVE drugs in medicine for the PREVENTION & MANAGEMENT of pain









© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed AV Rights Reserved

local anesthetics need to be

INJECTED

• The act of receiving the LA is *THE* most traumatic part of the dental experience for most patients.

• The INJECTION



21

23

24

Syncope	15,407 (50.3%)	2	22
Mild allergy	2,583 (8.4%)		
Angina Pectoris	2,552 (8.3%)		
Postural hypotension	2,475 (8.1%)		
Seizure	1,595 (5.2%)		
Asthmatic attack	1,392 (4.5%)		
Hyperventilation	1,326 (4.3%)	All ages	
Epinephrine Rxn	913 (3.0%)	N = 4,307	
Hypoglycemia	890 (2.9%)		
Cardiac Arrest	331 (1.1%)		
Anaphylaxis	304 (1.0%)		
Myocardial Infarction	289 (0.9%)		
L.A. Overdose	204 (0.7%)	© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed All Rights Reserved	

Treatment Stage	Occurrence
Immediately before Tx	1.5%
During / after LA	54.9%
During treatment	22%
After treatment	15.2%
After leaves office	5.5%

l Tr	Medical Err eatment bein	nergencie g performe	ed
	Treatment	Occurrence	
	Tooth extraction	38.9%	
	Pulp extirpation	26.9%	
	Unknown	12.3%	
	Other treatment	9%	
	Preparation	7.3%	
	Filling	2.3%	
	Incision	1.7%	

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed AV Rights Reserved





proprioceptive, or nociceptive © 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Mr All Rights Reserve

27



To take the patients mind off of what is happening to them whilst in the dental chair

So, when it comes to managing fear, our target organ is the brain © 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malame AV Rights Reserved

28

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malame All Rights Reserved



IATROSEDATION

- latro = Doctor
- Sedation = Relaxation
- Relaxation of the patient through the 'doctors' behavior
- Non-drug techniques of sedation

Non-drug Techniques of Sedation • Chairside demeanor • Hypnosis • Acupuncture • Audioanalgesia • Video

PHARMACOSEDATION

31

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malan All Rights Reserved 29

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Ma AV Rights Reserve

- Pharm = Drugs
- Sedation = Relaxation
- Techniques of sedation requiring drug administration



A primary GOAL of sedation (iatro- or pharmaco-) is to permit the STRESS-INTOLERANT patient to receive dental care in a SAFE and efficient manner.

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malan All Rights Reserved

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Mala AV Rights Reserved

Another GOAL of sedation is to PREVENT the occurrence of medical emergencies.

35
Oral sedation

Inhalation sedation
N₂O - O₂

Intravenous sedation

Intranasal sedation

 36

 Deal with the FEAR first

 then PAIN will be a minor problem

 Migron P, Weinstein P, Kleinknecht R, Getz T Treeting feerful dend patients, Reston 1980

33





SAFEST and the MOST EFFECTIVE drugs available in medicine for the prevention and management of pain

38

Local anesthetics are the © 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malan AV Rights Reserved

39

40

II December 1844

Prof. Colton administers N₂0 to Horace Wells while Dr. John Riggs (DDS) extracts one of Well's teeth



© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malan AV Rights Reserved

ANESTHESIA

1844 - 1880's **General Anesthesia** • Nitrous oxide Villiam T • Ether • Chloroform Appendectomy Cholecystectomy

























49

- to increase DEPTH, of anesthesia,
- a VASOCONSTRICTOR is added to the LA solution

Canada Epinephrine

Worldwide Epinephrine Norepinephrine Felypressin

















Long - Duration LAs					
Di	rug	Onset (textbook)	Pulpal	Soft Tissue	
Bupivacaine 0.5%	Epi 1:200k	6 -10 min	90 - 180 min (up to 7 hours NB)	up to 12 hours (NB)	



Bupivacaine 0.5% with vasoconstrictor

Indicated for:



Dental therapy of > 2 hour duration

Post-surgical pain control





Maximum Recommended Dosages						
Health Santé Canada Canada						
Drug	Mg/kg	Absolute maximum	Mg/kg	Absolute maximum (cartridges)		
Articaine HCI	7	n/a	7 5 (children)	500 (7)		
Bupivacaine HCI	***	90	2	200 (10)		
Lidocaine HCI	7	500	7	500 (13)		
Mepivacaine HCI	6.6	400	6.6	400 (11) (7 for 3% plain)		
Prilocaine HCI	8	600	8	500 (8)		

			64
	USA	Jan-Dec2014	
	Lidocaine	49.35%	
BV MARKET SHARE	Articaine	34.86%	-
(2014)	Mepivacaine	9.82%	
	Bupivacaine	3.3%	
	Prilocaine	2.7%	
		© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malar All Rights Reserved	ed -



61







USA Dental Cartridges -VOLUME

67

Robertson, D. Nusstein, J. Reader, A. Beck, M. McCartney, M. The anesthetic efficacy of articaine in buccal infiltration of mandibular posterior teeth. J Am Dent Assoc. Aug;138(8): 1104-12. 2007.

The mean (± standard deviation [SD]) amount expressed from the articaine cartridges in milliliters was 1.76 \pm 0.023 mL (SD) and from the lidocaine cartridges was 1.76 \pm 0.022 mL.

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed AV Rights Reserved











So the question is:

How long does it REALLY take for pulpal anesthesia to develop?

74

© 2016 Dr. Stanley & Male All Rights Reserved









Some doctors use soft tissue anesthesia as a sign of pulpal anesthesia

77

79

© 2016 Dr. Stanley & Malame-Al Rights Reserved

C 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malar Al Rights Reserved



Soft tissue anesthesia is *NEVER* a guaranteed sign of pulpal anesthesia









IANB: Lidocaine + epinephrine % clinically effective pulpal anesthesia 25[%] at 4 minutes 40[%] at 6 minutes 60[%] at 10 minutes 67[%] at 15 minutes 95[%] at 45 minutes © 2016 Dr. Stanley & Malane All Rights Reserved



/ / / / / / / /	
- /	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
- /	
1/1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

Can we speed the onset of anesthesia . . . with Articaine?









85

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Male All Richts Reserved



Can we speed the onset of anesthesia . . . by changing the pH of the LA solution?







89

C 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malam All Rights Reserved





















RN % Un-ionized (RN) LA RN				RN		
	рН	Lidocaine _{pKa 7.9}	Articaine _{pKa} 7.8	Mepivacaine	Bupivacaine	,
	3.5 (with epi)	0.004 RN	0.005 RN	0.008 RN	0.003 RN	©2014/2: Statuy & Hannel





The body will	рН	Lidocaine pKa 7.9	45 minutes ?
SLOWLY buffer the anesthetic	7.4 (body pH)	24.03%	75.97%
pH of 7.4	3.5 (with epi)	0.004%	99.996%
		RN	RNH ⁺

101	





Malamed SF, Hersh E, Poorsattar S, Falkel M. Faster onset and more comfortable injection with alkalinized 2% lidocaine with epinephrine 1:100,000. Compendium 34:(spec issue #1):1-11, 2013	104
Patients were appointed twice.	
Received IANB each time	
 At least 1 week between appointments 	
Pulp tested mandibular premolar prior to start	
IANB administered	
 Traditional lidocaine + epi 1:100k (pH ~3.5) 	
 Buffered lidocaine + epi 1:100k (pH 7.35) 	
Timer started	
 Endo-ice applied to premolar q20sec until no response 	
Confirmed with EPT	
 Onset of anesthesia when BOTH tests negative 	
© 2014 Di Staniny & Hahmod Al Rights Reserved	



105		



© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed All Rights Reserved

What has happened to make LA buffering a reality in dentistry?

Stabilization of the NaBicarbonate Solution







Buffered Local Anesthetics When buffering is done properly the following advantages can be expected from the increase in pH:

110

(1) More comfortable injection for patient

- pH of anesthetic 7.35 to 7.5
- (2) More rapid onset on pulpal anesthesia
- (3) More profound anesthesia
- (4) Less post-injection soreness
- (5) No effect on duration of action
- (6) No increase in LA blood level (safety)

111

C 2016 Dr. Stanley F. M

© 2016 Dr. Statiley F. Malan All Rights Reserved

The Onset® approach Mandibular anesthesia - IANB

Administer buffered lidocaine IANB DO NOT LEAVE THE PATIENT !!! You know if your block is successful in 2 minutes



The Onset® approach

Maxillary anesthesia

Follow same procedure for maxillary teeth.

Onset time is at least as rapid - if not faster following infiltration



115

113

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malar All Rights Reserved

Effect of Buffered 4% Lidocaine on the Success of the Inferior Alveolar Nerve Block in Patients with Symptomatic Irreversible Pulpitis: A Prospective, Randomized, Double-blind Study

Jared Schellenberg, DDS, MS,⁺ Melissa Drum, DDS, MS,[†] Al Reader, DDS, MS,[†] John Nusstein, DDS, MS,[†] Sara Fowler, DMD, MS,[†] and Mike Beck, DDS, MA[‡]

(J Endod 2015;41:791-796)



116

We concluded that a 4% buffered lidocaine formulation did not result in a statistically significant increase in the success rate or a decrease in injection pain of the IAN block for mandibular posterior teeth in patients with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis.



a Desimi, DES, NY, Al Resulter (MD), AN 1980, MY, and Mile Rock, DES, MY 2015;41:791–796)





If you truly believe that the use of a buffered local anesthetic is going to be the answer to this problem, that you will be able to adequately anesthetize a tooth with SIP with 1 or 2 cartridges of buffered LA, you are simply kidding yourself or you are delusional!

120

© 2016 Dr. Stanley & Malame-Al Rights Reserved

Two to three cartridges of buffered LA will likely work 20% to 30% of the time.

However . . . buffering will allow you to proceed with your LA algorithm more rapidly.

You will know within 2 minutes, not 10-15, if block has worked.

Inferior alveolar NB x 2 (or GGMNB) with buffered LIDOCAINE or ARTICAINE	121
Buccal infiltration at apex of tooth with 0.6 - 0.9 mL of buffered ARTICAINE	
PDL (ILI) with LIDOCAINE or ARTICAINE	
Intraosseous with buffered ARTICAINE	
Intrapulpal	

	Ons	set®
	Drug	Onset number
	Lidocaine	18 = NB 9 - Infiltration
	Articaine 4%	9
Me	lepivacaine 2% & 3%	9
	Prilocaine 4%	9

123 Deposit a Local Anesthetic Close to a Nerve and It WILL Produce Pain Control





And, when problems achieving clinically adequate pain control occur...

Where do they happen?

	Table 2. How often d infiltration and conc	o you enco luction, du	ounter ineffic ring manipul	iency of lo ation of va	cal anesthesi rious tooth gr	a, both oups?	
i = 1	21 Tooth group	Often	Sometimes	Rarely	Very Rarely	Never	
	Maxillary Incisors	1	3	17	37	3	
	Maxillary Canines	1	2	23	42	53	
	Maxillary Premolars	1	8	29	40	43	
	Maxillary Molars	1	19	31	41	29	
	Mandibular Incisors	4	6	17	39	55	
	Mandibular Canines	4	10	23	39	45	
	Mandibular Premolars	8	29	18	41	25	
	Mandibular Molars	20	47	32	21	1	
	Loca	l anesthesia failure Stom	Stiagailo SV. problems in conservati atologija, 2006, 85(6):	ive dental therapy (8-10	slinic.	© 2016. AF	Dr. Stank Rights R

129	



 Posterior Superior Alveolar NB
 131

 PSA
 Needle: 25 or 27 g.short

 Insertion: Mucobuccal fold over
 Insertion: Mucobuccal fold over

 2nd molar
 Target: Pterygomaxillary space

 Volume: 0.9 to 1.8 mL
 Aspiration: 3.1%







	Table 2. How often do you encounter inefficiency of local anesthesia, both infiltration and conduction, during manipulation of various tooth groups?								
N = 1	Tooth group 121	Often	Sometimes	Rarely	Very Rarely	Never			
	Mandibular Incisors	4	6	17	39	55			
	Mandibular Canines	4	10	23	39	45			
31%	Mandibular Premolars	8	29	18	41	25			
55%	Mandibular Molars	20	47	32	21	1			
	Loca	l anesthesia failure p Stoma	Stiagailo SV. roblems in conservat tologiia. 2006, 85(6):	ive dental therapy cl 6-10	inic.	© 2016 Dr. 5 Av Rig	itaniey F. Malame hts Reperved		

Anesthesia of Mandibular Premolars, Canine, and Incisors, can be easily accomplished















A basic truism regarding INJECTIONS:

Once a needle penetrates the skin or mucous membrane, every injection is BLIND

142

A dentist will administer approximately 30,000 IANBs in the course of a 20-year career



Pogrel MA, Thamby S. Permanent nerve involvement resulting from inferior alveolar nerve blocks JADA 2000;131:901-907

Within Stanley Fulle

 Mandibular anesthesia

 Image: State of the st









148

Needle: 25- or 27- gauge long Insertion: soft tissue on medial border of mandibular ramus

Target: IA nerve on lingual aspect of ramus prior to entering mandibular foramen

Aspiration: 10% - 15%

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malame AV Rights Reserved

Buccal NB "Long" Buccal

Needle: 25- or 27- gauge long Insertion: mucus membrane distal and buccal to last mandibular molar Target: buccal nerve passing over border of ramus Volume: 0.3 mL Aspiration: 0.7%



© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed AV Rights Reserved

Following completion of IANB & Buccal NBs . . .



Seat patient comfortably upright

Speeds onset of anesthesia

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed AV Rights Reserved

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Mala

149

150

Inferior Alveolar NB "Mandibular NB" Inferior Dental Block

The experienced dentist administered the IANB by 'feel'

Needle is advanced towards lingual aspect of body of mandible until bone is contacted.

Dr. 'feels' or 'senses' that the needle has contacted bone at the appropriate depth (based on years of clinical experience)



151

The most common reason for missing the IANB is depositing LA solution too low. (BELOW the mandibular foramen)

Inferior Alveolar NB "Mandibular NB" Inferior Dental Block

The 'nerve' is gone!

A little higher is a little better

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed All Rights Reserved

152

The limited success of the IANB has led to the development of alternative techniques:

- Gow-Gates Mandibular Nerve Block
- Vazirani Akinosi (closed mouth) Mandibular Nerve Block
- Periodontal ligament injection (intraligamentary)
- Intraosseous anesthesia
- Articaine HCI via buccal infiltration

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed AV Rights Reserved So the question is:

ls the **INFERIOR ALVEOLAR NERVE BLOCK** Passé ?

153

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malame AV Rights Reserved



155

Mandibular conduction anesthesia: A new technique using extraoral landmarks George A.E. Gow-Gates Parramatta, New South Wales, Australia Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology Volume 36, Issue 3, September 1973, Pages 321–328



1973... Gow-Gates Mandibular Nerve Block C 2005 Dr. Stanley F. Main AV Sights Reserved



Gow-Gates Mandibular Nerve Block

157

Anesthesia

- Mandibular teeth to midline
- •Buccal soft tissues to midline
- Anterior 2/3 of tongue and floor of oral cavity
- •Lingual soft tissues and periosteum
- •Body of mandible, inferior portion of ramus
- •Skin over zygoma, posterior portion of
- cheek, and temporal region



© 2016 Dr. Statiley F. Ma













163

164

Periodontal ligament injection: a clinical evaluation RE Walton and BJ Abbott JADA October 1, 1981 103(4): 571-575

1982 ... Periodontal Ligament Injection (PDL) aka Intraligamentary Injection (ILI)

Periodontal Ligament Injection (PDL, ILI)

27 gauge short needle

• Place interproximally

• SLOWLY deposit 0.2 mL per root



© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malame AV Rights Reserved

© 2016 Dr. Stanley & Malamed Al Rights Reserved



91% successful mandibular molars

167

© 2016 Dr. Statiley & Malana Al Rights Reserved









X-Tip The guide sleeve remains in place until you are sure you have adequate anesthesia.

Intraosseous Anesthesia (10)

171

172

ADVANTAGES Relatively comfortable Single / multiple tooth anesthesia No lip / tongue DISADVANTAGES Highly vascular region LA OD Vasopressor "shakes" use 1:200k or plain Can't locate hole with needle

Intraseptal (Crestal) Anesthesia Application of Crestal Anesthesia for Treatment of Class I Caries in Posterior Mandibular Teeth Koroush Taheri Talesh ¹ and Shiva Solahaye Kahnamouii ².*



J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospect. Winter 2011 5(1): 17-22, 2011



© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malane All Rights Reserved

Intraseptal (Crestal) Anesthesia

Percentage (number) of successful anesthesia achieved by crestal anesthesia (CA) and inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB) techniques

Tooth"CAIANBFirst premolar96 (16)82 (17) Second premolar 98 (26) 83 (21) First molar 100 (52) 85 (45)

Second molar 100 (40) 88 (36) Third molar

100 (19) 93 (15)



J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospect. Winter 2011 5(1): 17-22, 2011

Intras	eptal (Cre	estal) Ane	sthesia		
	Crestal	IANB]	
Onset	7.00 +/- 0.71	3.30 +/- 0.67	<0.001		
Duration	23.10 +/- 2.13	32.10 +/- 2.02	<0.05		
Pain	1.54 +/- 0.18	3.44 +/- 0.22	<0.001		
Volume	0.4 mL +/- 2.07	1.99 mL			
J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospect. Winter 2011 5(1): 17-22, 2011					

174

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed All Rights Reserved

173



176 ARTICAINE © 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed All Rights Reserved

<text><text><text></text></text></text>	
	178



Synthesized in Germany 1969 Introduced Germany 1976 Canada 1985 USA 2000 4% with epinephrine 1:100,000 1:200,000

1st & only Local anesthetic designed for dentistry

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malame

Articaine infiltration as a **sole** injection for mandibular anesthesia

Results -1:	The anesthetic n buccal infiltr posterior teeth wegtes flatertee, DDS, MS; abb	efficacy of art ation of mand Measure, DDS, MS; Al Reader JADA 138(8):	Caine ibular 	N.
Pulp test every		Articaine	Lidocaine]
3 min	Mandibular 2 nd Molar	75%	45%	
SUCCESS -	Mandibular 1 st Molar	87%	57%	p value for all: >.0001
80/80 on 2 consecutive	Mandibular 2 nd Premolar	92%	67%	
tests 2007	Mandibular 1 st Premolar	86%	61%	

181	

	in po	e anesthetic efficacy of buccal infiltration of ma sterior teeth	articaine indibular		
ults -2:					
The onset	of succ	essful anesthe	esia was sign	ificantly fa	aster
ior a	licame	inan nuocann		11103100	
Tooth	rticalite	Articaine onset (min) +/- Standard Deviation	Lidocaine onset (min) +/-Standard Deviation	P value	
Tooth	ar	Articaine onset (min) +/- Standard Deviation 4.6 +/- 4.0	Lidocaine onset (min) +/-Standard Deviation 11.1 +/- 9.5	<i>P</i> value	
Tooth 2 nd mol	ar	Articaine onset (min) +/- Standard Deviation 4.6 +/- 4.0 4.2 +/- 3.1	Lidocaine onset (min) +/-Standard Deviation 11.1 +/- 9.5 7.7 +/- 4.3	P value .0001	
Tooth 2nd mol 1st mola 2nd prer	ar ar nolar	Articaine onset (min) +/- Standard Deviation 4.6 +/- 4.0 4.2 +/- 3.1 4.3 +/- 2.3	Lidocaine onset (min) +/-Standard Deviation 11.1 +/- 9.5 7.7 +/- 4.3 6.9 +/- 6.6	P value .0001 .0002 .0014	







Meechan JG, Ledvinka JI. Pulpal anasethesia for mandibudar central incisor teeth: a comparison of infiltration and intralignamentary injections. Int Endod J 35:629-634, 2002	185
Results-1:	
Infiltration buccal fold by lateral incisor 94% articaine; 70% lidocaine	
97% articaine; 88% lidocaine	
2002 2010 States Televis	



© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malame AV Rights Reserved

Discussion:

The increased success rate for infiltration in the adult mandibular incisor region is thought to be due to the fact that the cortical plate of bone, both buccal and lingual, is quite thin and might provide little resistance to infiltration.

Meechan JG, Ledvinka JI. mandibular central incisor t

tion and intraligamentary injec Int Endod J 35:629-634, 2002

eth: a comparison of

2002

 Buccal infiltration -
ARTICAINE
 188

 Activation S
 188

 Activation S
 188

 Profound pulpal anesthesia
30 to 40 minute duration of pulpal anesthesia
Minimal accessory soft tissue anesthesia
Tongue
 188

Buccal infiltration - ARTICAINE	189
Disadvantage I can't think of any, unless it doesn't work!	

Buccal infiltration -ARTICAINE

Comment

The research required articaine infiltration by tooth #30 In clinical situations you would logically infiltrate the articaine in the buccal fold adjacent to the tooth to be treated.

191

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malame AV Rights Reserved 190

Articaine infiltration as a **supplement** to IANB













Recommendation Premolars, Canine, Incisors	197	
Incisive NB Buffered lidocaine or articaine 0.6 mL If ineffective: PDL or Intraseptal		
**	1016 Dr. Stanley F. Malamed AV Rights Reserved	

Recommendation Canine or Incisor Infiltration of buffered articaine • 0.5 mL buccal fold for ~10 minute treatment Infiltration of buffered articaine • 0.5 mL buccal AND lingual for

15 - 30 minute treatment

 Recommendation
Posterior teeth
 199

 IANB or GGMNB utilizing
• Buffered lidocaine or articaine, followed by
• Buffered articaine buccal infiltration at apex of tooth
• 0.5 mL
If ineffective:
• PDL, IO or Intraseptal
 199

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malam AV Rights Reserved

The local anesthetic "OFF SWITCH" Phentolamine Mesylate



Intermediate - duration LAs				
Dr	ug	Onset (textbook)	Pulpal	Soft Tissue
Lidocaine 2%	Epi 1:50k, 1:100k	3 - 5 min	60 min	3 - 5 hrs
Articaine 4%	Epi 1:100k 1:200k	2 - 3 min	60 min	3 - 5 hrs
Mepivacaine 2%	Epi 1:100k	3 - 5 min	60 min	3 - 5 hrs
Prilocaine 4%	Epi 1:200k	3 - 5 min	60 min	3 - 8 hrs
	Epi = Epine	ephrine (Adrena	lin)	© 20





















211 The local anesthetic "**OFF SWITCH**" Phentolamine Mesylate

 New & Exciting
 212

 C-CLAD
 Computer-Controlled Local Anesthetic Delivery

 INTRANASAL LOCAL ANESTHETIC MIST

 Tetracaine + Oxymetazoline

© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Malam AV Rights Reserved





213





© 2016 Dr. Stanley F. Mal All Rights Reserved

© 2015 Dr. Statiley F. Malan All Rights Reserved







Am

Preformed Sta Steel Crown







Intranasal Local Anesthetic Mist Intranasal Local Anesthetic Mist







Safety and Efficacy Spray for Maxillar	y of a Novel Nasal y Dental Anesthesia Marxiv: Strawy, Sk Onter,	227
Journal of Dental Research :92(supp11):43S-48S, 2013	 Distal to apex of tooth (maxillary 1st molar) at deepest point in buccal vestibule Apical to maxillary lateral incisor at deepest point in labial vestibule Incisive papilla of the hard palate 	
	4. At junction of alveolar process & hard palate medial to maxillary 2nd premolar	

Safety and Efficacy of a Novel Nasal Spray for Maxillary Dental Anesthesia B Study The Net Control of Control	oints 228
I. Proportion of patients who received NO rescu anesthesia	Je
 Proportion of patients who had a global VAS score of < 85 mm (less than moderate pain) upor completion of treatment 	n
Journal of Dental Research :92(suppil):435-485, 2013	0 2013 Cardinary of Biogenet

Safety and Efficacy of a Novel Nasal Spray for Maxillary Dental Anesthesia I: Proportion of patients who received NO rescue anesthesia 25 of 30 (83.3%) in nasal spray group 14 of 15 (93.3%) in lidocaine group 90% of test individuals had anesthesia success from premolar to premolar Journal of Dental Research :92(suppl1):435-485, 2013	229
Safety and Efficacy of a Novel Nasal Dropp for Maxillary Dental Anesthesia In the second s	230
Safety and Efficacy of a Novel Nasal Spray for Maxillary Dental Anesthesia Discussion B 33.3% success = drug provided anesthesia of maxillary teeth sufficient for performance of restorative procedures in most patients 90% of participants had anesthesia success on teeth #4 (15) through #13 (25)	231
Journal of Dental Research	
.92(suppl1):435-485, 2013] 222
Safety and Efficacy of a Novel Nasal Spray for Maxillary Dental Anesthesia 18 Other: WS & Network Content, DA CONT	
90% of participants had anesthesia success on teeth #4 (15) through #13 (25)	
MSA (middle superior alveolar) & PSA (posterior superior alveolar) nerves consistently provide plural anesthesia to these teeth	
Journal of Dental Research :92(suppl1):43S-48S, 2013	

























